

Spacetime topology from causality

Keye Martin

Department of Mathematics
Tulane University
New Orleans, LA 70118
United States of America
`martin@math.tulane.edu`

Prakash Panangaden

School of Computer Science
McGill University
Montreal, Quebec H3A 2A7
Canada
`prakash@cs.mcgill.ca`

Abstract

We prove that a globally hyperbolic spacetime with its causality relation is a bicontinuous poset whose interval topology is the manifold topology. This provides an abstract mathematical setting in which one can study causality independent of geometry and differentiable structure.

1 Introduction

It has been known for some time that the topology of spacetime could be characterized purely in terms of causality – but what is causality? In this paper, we prove that the causality relation is much more than a relation – it turns a globally hyperbolic spacetime into what is known as a *bicontinuous poset*. The order on a bicontinuous poset allows one to define an intrinsic topology called *the interval topology*. On a globally hyperbolic spacetime, the interval topology is the manifold topology.

The importance of these results and ideas is that they suggest an abstract formulation of causality – a setting where one can study causality independently of geometry and differentiable structure.

2 Domains, continuous posets and topology

A *poset* is a partially ordered set, i.e., a set together with a reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive relation.

Definition 2.1 Let $(P, \sqsubseteq)$ be a partially ordered set. A nonempty subset $S \subseteq P$ is *directed* if $(\forall x, y \in S)(\exists z \in S) x, y \sqsubseteq z$. The *supremum* of $S \subseteq P$ is the least of all its upper bounds provided it exists. This is written $\bigsqcup S$.

These ideas have duals that will be important to us: A nonempty $S \subseteq P$ is *filtered* if $(\forall x, y \in S)(\exists z \in S) z \sqsubseteq x, y$. The *infimum* $\bigwedge S$ of $S \subseteq P$ is the greatest of all its lower bounds provided it exists.

Definition 2.2 For a subset X of a poset P , set

$$\uparrow X := \{y \in P : (\exists x \in X) x \sqsubseteq y\} \quad \& \quad \downarrow X := \{y \in P : (\exists x \in X) y \sqsubseteq x\}.$$

We write $\uparrow x = \uparrow \{x\}$ and $\downarrow x = \downarrow \{x\}$ for elements $x \in X$.

A partial order allows for the derivation of several intrinsically defined topologies. Here is our first example.

Definition 2.3 A subset U of a poset P is *Scott open* if

- (i) U is an upper set: $x \in U \& x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow y \in U$, and
- (ii) U is inaccessible by directed suprema: For every directed $S \subseteq P$ with a supremum,

$$\bigsqcup S \in U \Rightarrow S \cap U \neq \emptyset.$$

The collection of all Scott open sets on P is called the *Scott topology*.

Definition 2.4 A *dcpo* is a poset in which every directed subset has a supremum. The *least element* in a poset, when it exists, is the unique element $\perp$ with $\perp \sqsubseteq x$ for all x .

The set of *maximal elements* in a dcpo D is

$$\max(D) := \{x \in D : \uparrow x = \{x\}\}.$$

Each element in a dcpo has a maximal element above it.

Definition 2.5 For elements x, y of a poset, write $x \ll y$ iff for all directed sets S with a supremum,

$$y \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S \Rightarrow (\exists s \in S) x \sqsubseteq s.$$

We set $\downarrow x = \{a \in D : a \ll x\}$ and $\uparrow x = \{a \in D : x \ll a\}$.

For the symbol “ $\ll$,” read “approximates.”

Definition 2.6 A *basis* for a poset D is a subset B such that $B \cap \downarrow x$ contains a directed set with supremum x for all $x \in D$. A poset is *continuous* if it has a basis. A poset is ω -*continuous* if it has a countable basis.

Continuous posets have an important property, they are *interpolative*.

Proposition 2.7 If $x \ll y$ in a continuous poset P , then there is $z \in P$ with $x \ll z \ll y$.

This enables a clear description of the Scott topology,

Theorem 2.8 The collection $\{\uparrow x : x \in D\}$ is a basis for the Scott topology on a continuous poset.

And also helps us give a clear definition of the *Lawson topology*.

Definition 2.9 The *Lawson topology* on a continuous poset P has as a basis all sets of the form $\uparrow x \setminus \downarrow F$, for $F \subseteq P$ finite.

The next idea, as far as we know, is new.

Definition 2.10 A continuous poset P is *bicontinuous* if

- For all $x, y \in P$, $x \ll y$ iff for all filtered $S \subseteq P$ with an infimum,

$$\bigwedge S \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow (\exists s \in S) s \sqsubseteq y,$$

and

- For each $x \in P$, the set $\uparrow x$ is filtered with infimum x .

Example 2.11 $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$ are bicontinuous.

Definition 2.12 On a bicontinuous poset P , sets of the form

$$(a, b) := \{x \in P : a \ll x \ll b\}$$

form a basis for a topology called *the interval topology*.

The proof uses interpolation and bicontinuity. A bicontinuous poset P has $\uparrow x \neq \emptyset$ for each x , so it is rarely a dcpo. Later we will see that on a bicontinuous poset, the Lawson topology is contained in the interval topology (causal simplicity), the interval topology is Hausdorff (strong causality), and $\leq$ is a closed subset of P^2 .

Definition 2.13 A *continuous dcpo* is a continuous poset which is also a dcpo. A *domain* is a continuous dcpo.

We now consider an example of a domain that will be used later in proofs.

Example 2.14 Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Its *upper space*

$$\mathbf{U}X = \{\emptyset \neq K \subseteq X : K \text{ is compact}\}$$

ordered under reverse inclusion

$$A \sqsubseteq B \Leftrightarrow B \subseteq A$$

is a continuous dcpo:

- For directed $S \subseteq \mathbf{U}X$, $\bigsqcup S = \bigcap S$.
- For all $K, L \in \mathbf{U}X$, $K \ll L \Leftrightarrow L \subseteq \text{int}(K)$.
- $\mathbf{U}X$ is ω -continuous iff X has a countable basis.

It is interesting here that the space X can be recovered from $\mathbf{U}X$ in a purely order theoretic manner:

$$X \simeq \max(\mathbf{U}X) = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$$

where $\max(\mathbf{U}X)$ carries the relative Scott topology it inherits as a subset of $\mathbf{U}X$. Several constructions of this type are known.

3 The causal structure of spacetime

A *manifold* $\mathcal{M}$ is a locally Euclidean Hausdorff space that is connected and has a countable basis. A connected Hausdorff manifold is paracompact iff it has a countable basis. A *Lorentz metric* on a manifold is a symmetric, nondegenerate tensor field of type $(0, 2)$ whose signature is $(-+++)$.

Definition 3.1 A *spacetime* is a real four-dimensional smooth manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with a Lorentz metric g_{ab} .

Let $(\mathcal{M}, g_{ab})$ be a time orientable spacetime. Let $\Pi_{\leq}^+$ denote the future directed causal curves, and $\Pi_{<}^+$ denote the future directed time-like curves.

Definition 3.2 For $p \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$I^+(p) := \{q \in \mathcal{M} : (\exists \pi \in \Pi_{<}^+) \pi(0) = p, \pi(1) = q\}$$

and

$$J^+(p) := \{q \in \mathcal{M} : (\exists \pi \in \Pi_{\leq}^+) \pi(0) = p, \pi(1) = q\}$$

Similarly, we define $I^-(p)$ and $J^-(p)$.

We write the relation J^+ as

$$p \sqsubseteq q \equiv q \in J^+(p).$$

The following properties from [3] are very useful:

Proposition 3.3 Let $p, q, r \in \mathcal{M}$. Then

- (i) The sets $I^+(p)$ and $I^-(p)$ are open.
- (ii) $p \sqsubseteq q$ and $r \in I^+(q) \Rightarrow r \in I^+(p)$
- (iii) $q \in I^+(p)$ and $q \sqsubseteq r \Rightarrow r \in I^+(p)$
- (iv) $\text{Cl}(I^+(p)) = \text{Cl}(J^+(p))$ and $\text{Cl}(I^-(p)) = \text{Cl}(J^-(p))$.

We always assume the chronology conditions that ensure $(\mathcal{M}, \sqsubseteq)$ is a partially ordered set. We also assume *strong causality* which can be characterized as follows [5]:

Theorem 3.4 A spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ is strongly causal iff its Alexandroff topology is Hausdorff iff its Alexandroff topology is the manifold topology.

The Alexandroff topology on a spacetime has $\{I^+(p) \cap I^-(q) : p, q \in \mathcal{M}\}$ as a basis [5].

4 Global hyperbolicity

Penrose has called *globally hyperbolic* spacetimes “the physically reasonable spacetimes [7].” In this section, $\mathcal{M}$ denotes a globally hyperbolic spacetime, and we prove that $(\mathcal{M}, \sqsubseteq)$ is a bicontinuous poset.

Definition 4.1 A spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ is *globally hyperbolic* if it is strongly causal and if $\uparrow a \cap \downarrow b$ is compact in the manifold topology, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 4.2 If (x_n) is a sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ with $x_n \sqsubseteq x$ for all n , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \Rightarrow \bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} x_n = x.$$

Proof. Let $x_n \sqsubseteq y$. By global hyperbolicity, $\mathcal{M}$ is causally simple, so the set $J^-(y)$ is closed. Since $x_n \in J^-(y)$, $x = \lim x_n \in J^-(y)$, and thus $x \sqsubseteq y$. This proves $x = \bigsqcup x_n$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $I^-(x)$ contains an increasing sequence with supremum x .

Proof. Because $x \in \text{Cl}(I^-(x)) = J^-(x)$ but $x \notin I^-(x)$, x is an *accumulation point* of $I^-(x)$, so for every open set V with $x \in V$, $V \cap I^-(x) \neq \emptyset$. Let (U_n) be a countable basis for x , which exists because $\mathcal{M}$ is locally Euclidean. Define a sequence (x_n) by first choosing

$$x_1 \in U_1 \cap I^-(x) \neq \emptyset$$

and then whenever

$$x_n \in U_n \cap I^-(x)$$

we choose

$$x_{n+1} \in (U_n \cap I^+(x_n)) \cap I^-(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

By definition, (x_n) is increasing, and since (U_n) is a basis for x , $\lim x_n = x$. By Lemma 4.2, $\bigsqcup x_n = x$. $\square$

Proposition 4.4 Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a globally hyperbolic spacetime. Then

$$x \ll y \Leftrightarrow y \in I^+(x)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $y \in I^+(x)$. Let $y \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S$ with S directed. By Prop. 3.3(iii),

$$y \in I^+(x) \ \& \ y \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S \Rightarrow \bigsqcup S \in I^+(x)$$

Since $I^+(x)$ is manifold open and $\mathcal{M}$ is locally compact, there is an open set $V \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ whose closure $\text{Cl}(V)$ is compact with $\bigsqcup S \in V \subseteq \text{Cl}(V) \subseteq I^+(x)$. Then, using approximation on the upper space of $\mathcal{M}$,

$$\text{Cl}(V) \ll \left\{ \bigsqcup S \right\} = \bigcap_{s \in S} [s, \bigsqcup S]$$

where the intersection on the right is a filtered collection of nonempty compact sets by directedness of S and global hyperbolicity of $\mathcal{M}$. Thus, for some $s \in S$, $[s, \bigsqcup S] \subseteq \text{Cl}(V) \subseteq I^+(x)$, and so $s \in I^+(x)$, which gives $x \sqsubseteq s$. This proves $x \ll y$.

Now let $x \ll y$. By Lemma 4.3, there is an increasing sequence (y_n) in $I^-(y)$ with $y = \bigsqcup y_n$. Then since $x \ll y$, there is n with $x \sqsubseteq y_n$. By Prop. 3.3(ii),

$$x \sqsubseteq y_n \ \& \ y_n \in I^-(y) \Rightarrow x \in I^-(y)$$

which is to say that $y \in I^+(x)$. $\square$

Theorem 4.5 *If $\mathcal{M}$ is globally hyperbolic, then $(\mathcal{M}, \sqsubseteq)$ is a bicontinuous poset with $\ll = I^+$ whose interval topology is the manifold topology.*

Proof. By combining Lemma 4.3 with Prop. 4.4, $\downarrow x$ contains an increasing sequence with supremum x , for each $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus, $\mathcal{M}$ is a continuous poset.

For the bicontinuity, Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and Prop. 4.4 have “duals” which are obtained by replacing ‘increasing’ by ‘decreasing’, I^+ by I^- , J^- by J^+ , etc. For example, the dual of Lemma 4.3 is that I^+ contains a *decreasing* sequence with *infimum* x . Using the duals of these two lemmas, we then give an alternate characterization of $\ll$ in terms of infima:

$$x \ll y \equiv (\forall S) \bigwedge S \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow (\exists s \in S) s \sqsubseteq y$$

where we quantify over *filtered* subsets S of $\mathcal{M}$. These three facts then imply that $\uparrow x$ contains a decreasing sequence with $\inf x$. But because $\ll$ can be phrased in terms of infima, $\uparrow x$ itself must be filtered with $\inf x$.

Finally, $\mathcal{M}$ is bicontinuous, so we know it has an interval topology. Because $\ll = I^+$, the interval topology is the one generated by the timelike causality relation, which by strong causality is the manifold topology. $\square$

Bicontinuity, as we have defined it here, is really quite a special property, and some of the nicest posets in the world are not bicontinuous. For example, the powerset of the naturals $\mathcal{P}\omega$ is not bicontinuous, because we can have $F \ll G$ for G finite, and $F = \bigcap V_n$ where all the V_n are infinite.

5 Causal simplicity

It is also worth pointing out before we close, that causal simplicity also has a characterization in order theoretic terms.

Definition 5.1 A spacetime $\mathcal{M}$ is *causally simple* if $J^+(x)$ and $J^-(x)$ are closed for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 5.2 Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a spacetime and $(\mathcal{M}, \sqsubseteq)$ a continuous poset with $\ll = I^+$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\mathcal{M}$ is causally simple.
- (ii) The Lawson topology on $\mathcal{M}$ is a subset of the interval topology on $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): We want to prove that

$$\{\uparrow x \cap \uparrow F : x \in \mathcal{M} \text{ \& } F \subseteq \mathcal{M} \text{ finite}\} \subseteq \text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

By strong causality of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\ll = I^+$, $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the manifold topology, and this is the crucial fact we need as follows. First, $\uparrow x = I^+(x)$ is open in the manifold topology and hence belongs to $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Second, $\uparrow x = J^+(x)$ is closed in the manifold topology by causal simplicity, so $\mathcal{M} \setminus \uparrow x$ belongs to $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$ contains the basis for the Lawson topology given above.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): First, since $(\mathcal{M}, \sqsubseteq)$ is continuous, its Lawson topology is Hausdorff, so $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is Hausdorff since it contains the Lawson topology by assumption. Since $\ll = I^+$, $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the Alexandroff topology, so Theorem 3.4 implies $\mathcal{M}$ is strongly causal.

Now, Theorem 3.4 also tells us that $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the manifold topology. Since the manifold topology $\text{int}_{\mathcal{M}}$ contains the Lawson by assumption, and since

$$J^+(x) = \uparrow x \text{ \& } J^-(x) = \downarrow x$$

are both Lawson closed (the second is Scott closed), each is also closed in the manifold topology, which means $\mathcal{M}$ is causally simple. $\square$

Note in the above proof that we have assumed causally simplicity implies strong causality. If we are wrong about this, then (i) above should be replaced with ‘causal simplicity+strong causality’.

6 Conclusion

It seems there is something of a gap in the hierarchy of causality conditions. One goes from global hyperbolicity all the way down to causal simplicity. It might be good to insert a new one in between these two. Some possible candidates are to require $(\mathcal{M}, \sqsubseteq)$ a continuous (bicontinuous) poset. All of these might provide generalizations of global hyperbolicity for which a lot could probably be proved. Bicontinuity, in particular, has the nice consequence that one does not have to explicitly assume strong causality as one does with global hyperbolicity. Is $\mathcal{M}$ bicontinuous iff it is causally simple?

In the forthcoming [4], the fact that globally hyperbolic spacetimes are bicontinuous will enable us to prove that spacetime can be reconstructed from a countable dense set and the causality relation in a purely order theoretic manner using techniques from an area known as *domain theory* [6].

References

- [1] S. Abramsky and A. Jung. *Domain theory*. In S. Abramsky, D. M. Gabbay, T. S. E. Maibaum, editors, *Handbook of Logic in Computer Science*, vol. III. Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [2] R. Engelking. *General topology*. Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
- [3] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis. *The large scale structure of space-time*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [4] K. Martin and P. Panangaden. *A domain of spacetime intervals in general relativity*. 2004.
- [5] R. Penrose. *Techniques of differential topology in relativity*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1972.
- [6] D. Scott. *Outline of a mathematical theory of computation*. Technical Monograph PRG-2, Oxford University Computing Laboratory, November 1970.
- [7] R.M. Wald. *General relativity*. The University of Chicago Press, 1984.